

# Tortoise QuickTake

## Credit Podcast



March 20, 2018

**Welcome to the Tortoise QuickTake podcast. Thank you for joining us. Today, a senior member of Tortoise provides a timely update on trending topics in the market.**

Welcome to the weekly Tortoise credit podcast. I'm Greg Haendel, Senior Portfolio Manager at Tortoise. March Madness is in full swing with the Men's NCAA Division 1 basketball tournament well underway. As is typical with March Madness, things never occur as you originally predict, there are some great victories and disappointments, the drama and excitement never disappoint, and often history is made. Thus far, the biggest shock in the tournament came when, for the first time in tournament history, a number 16 seed, University of Maryland-Baltimore County, upset a number 1 seed, Virginia. March Madness is also occurring off the basketball court and rearing its head in the markets, the economy and global politics. In today's podcast, we will discuss some of the excitement and drama occurring outside of the basketball tournament during the month.

March Madness in the markets started out with a bang when on March 1st President Trump announced a set of tariffs on imported steel and aluminum and signed them into law on March 8th. While these tariffs will have a positive effect on the U.S. steel industry and a negative effect on input costs for some U.S. manufacturing industries such as automakers, the direct effect of these tariffs is rather minor to our GDP. Further, these tariffs are in addition to the previously instituted tariffs imposed on washing machines and solar panels. The larger issue and greater concern is the fear by some economists and market participants that this action could spark a much larger trade war amongst our trading partners which in turn could spark increased inflation and slower economic growth. However, some investors have taken comfort with the fact that the U.S. is one of the least protectionist countries in the world and the Trump Administration appears to be using tariffs as leverage in high stakes negotiations to extract concessions from trading partners in other areas. In fact, Mexico and Canada will already be exempted from the steel and aluminum tariffs and other countries are invited to negotiate exemptions as well. Regardless, we are not completely out of the woods on trade as the larger issue, the 301 investigation into Chinese trade and intellectual property practices could be a much bigger deal. According to some media sources, an unfavorable outcome to these investigations could result in the Trump Administration imposing tariffs on \$30 to \$60 billion worth of Chinese exports. However, more likely this is again just another negotiating tactic.

This tariff issue could have been the straw that broke camel's back as it relates to the resignation of the White House National Economic Council Director Gary Cohn on March 6th. In fact, there has been an unprecedented revolving door at the White House and this revolving door seems to have picked up speed during March. In addition to Cohn's recent resignation, other recent departures (voluntary or otherwise) from the White House this month include National Security Advisor Herbert Raymond McMaster, Secretary of State Rex Tillerson, White House Communications Director Hope Hicks, personal aide to President Trump John McEntee, FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe and White House Deputy Chief of Staff Rick Dearborn. Luckily, the markets have taken many of these high profile departures in stride with little to no associated market volatility. As it relates to Gary Cohn's departure, the markets largely shrugged off his exit as his most important task, passage of the tax bill, had already been achieved. Further, President Trump's recent appointment of Larry Kudlow as Cohn's replacement has been viewed positively by the markets. In addition, the appointment of Mike Pompeo, Director of the CIA, as the new Secretary of State (still requiring Senate confirmation), has also been well received. While the recent turnover in staffing at the White House has had little effect on the markets, any high profile turnover at the Pentagon or Justice Department could shake investor confidence.

Additional March Madness news has included a potential diplomatic breakthrough as President Trump has initially agreed to meet with North Korean leader Kim Jung-un before the end of May. Allegedly, Mr. Kim is ready to discuss getting rid of his nuclear weapons in exchange for security guarantees although his regime has made this promise in the past, 2005, and quickly broke their promise. Regardless, this would be the first meeting between a U.S. President and North Korea, marking a historic event. However, there is much skepticism whether the meeting will even occur or whether it will result in a material shift in Korean Peninsula relations.

A little closer to the financial markets, the Trump administration continued to weigh a heavy hand in corporate mergers and acquisitions. On March 12th, President Trump blocked what would have been the technology industries largest M&A deal in history by blocking Broadcom's \$117 billion bid for chipmaker Qualcomm citing national security concerns. This comes months after the Trump Administration has also tried to block another behemoth transaction of AT&T's \$85 billion deal to buy Time Warner Inc. While the fate of the Broadcom Qualcomm deal has been determined, AT&T is set to begin their arguments against the Department of Justice and in favor of their merger in a federal courtroom starting on Monday, March 19th. However, this ultimate ruling will likely leak into April, May, or beyond.

The March Madness has even made its way into the fixed income markets and the investment grade credit markets specifically. Despite a generally strong Q4 earnings season and favorable corporate tax reforms, corporate credit spreads in general and investment grade credit spreads in particular have widened during March. While general market and economic headlines have been mixed, the corporate credit spread widening within investment grade has largely been a result of increased technical new issuance supply pressure combined with decelerating technical demand for corporate bonds. In particular, new issue supply volume in investment grade credit has already reached approximately \$75 billion only half way through March with CVS borrowing \$40 billion to purchase Aetna in the third largest corporate bond sale ever. At the same time, Lipper fund flows into investment grade credit have decelerated while there is also growing evidence that foreign demand for U.S. corporate bonds has also slowed, partially as a result of increased hedging costs and partially as a result of a March 31st fiscal year end in some parts of Asia. To make matters even a little more exciting, corporate credit spreads have widened even more in short maturity bonds in general than in longer maturity bonds. We had predicted this could occur in several podcasts in late 2017 as a result of cash repatriation from the tax plan with either selling or less buying of short maturity corporate bonds from cash-rich technology companies. In addition, some of the money market cash that was temporarily renting space within short duration bond funds has likely moved back to money market funds as 3-month libor interest rates have increased significantly. Further, some short maturity corporate investors have likely found a 5-year U.S. Treasury, with yields currently around 2.6%, to be a compelling alternative generically versus short maturity corporate bonds yielding less than 3%. We believe that several of the technical factors that have led to general corporate credit spread widening during the month of March will likely reverse in the coming months.

Last but not least, March Madness found its way into the energy markets when the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, otherwise known as FERC, ruled on March 15th on the income tax allowance cost recovery for MLP pipelines. While this timing did surprise the market, ultimately we believe the general market reaction was over exaggerated versus the minimal to non-existent financial impact the ruling will have on many MLP pipelines. For a much more in depth discussion on the FERC ruling, please listen to Tortoise QuickTake Special Edition Energy Podcast on the FERC Ruling dated March 15th, 2018.

March Madness has already proven to be extremely exciting, with many twists and turns, in both NCAA men's basketball and with the political, economic and financial markets. There are still several days left in March and several unforeseen basketball upsets and victories as well as potentially pivotal market events, such the March 21st FOMC meeting and the accompanying "dot plot" and forward economic forecast. As such, be sure to tune in to next week's podcast for an update on the FOMC meeting and other important market topics and events.

**Thank you for joining us. And stay tuned for our next cast. Have topics you want covered or other feedback to share? Write us at [info@tortoiseadvisors.com](mailto:info@tortoiseadvisors.com).**

**Disclaimer:** *Nothing contained in this communication constitutes tax, legal or investment advice. Investors must consult their tax adviser or legal counsel for advice and information concerning their particular situation. This podcast contains certain statements that may include "forward-looking statements." All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included herein are "forward-looking statements." Although Tortoise believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they do involve assumptions, risks and uncertainties, and these expectations may prove to be incorrect. Actual events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. This podcast reflects our views and opinions as of the date herein, which are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions. We disclaim any responsibility to update these views. These views should not be relied on as investment advice or an indication of trading intention.*